Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 153 (1978) C19—C22

© Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne — Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

HALOGENATION OF BIS(η -CYCLOPENTADIENYLTRICARBONYL-MOLYBDENUM AND -TUNGSTEN) VIA BRIDGED INTERMEDIATES — FORMATION OF SOME MONOCYCLOPENTADIENYL DERIVATIVES OF MOLYBDENUM(IV)

JAMES C.T.R. BURCKETT-ST. LAURENT*, JOHN S. FIELD*, RAYMOND J. HAINES** and MARTIN McMAHON

Department of Chemistry, University of Natal, P.O. Box 375, Pietermaritzburg 3200 (Republic of South Africa)

(Received April 7th, 1978)

Summary

The synthesis of $[Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2LI]$ (L = CO or $P(OCH_3)_3$) and $[W(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I]$ by iodination of $[\{Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2L\}_2]$ and $[\{W(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2]$, respectively, is shown to proceed via iodide bridged intermediates, $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2L\}_2I]^+$ (M = Mo or W); treatment of $[Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2LX]$ $\{X=Cl, Br \ or \ I; L=CO, P(C_2H_5)_3, P(CH_3)_2C_6H_5 \ or P(OCH_3)_3; L \neq P(C_6H_5)_3\}$ with excess halogen leads to the formation of the molybdenum(IV) derivatives, $[Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)LX_3]$.

Previous studies on the halogenation reactions of the dinuclear metal carbonyl derivatives, $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2\}_2]$ (M = Fe or Ru), $[\{Fe(CO)_3SR\}_2]$ (R = alkyl or aryl group) and substituted derivatives of the latter have indicated that they proceed via halide bridged intermediates [1—5]. All of the above compounds contain either bridging carbonyl or bridging thiol groups [6—13]. With a view to establishing whether such a mechanism would operate for non-bridged species the halogenation of $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2]$ (M = Mo or W) [14, 15] has been investigated.

By monitoring the reaction of these compounds with iodine in dichloromethane by means of infrared spectroscopy intermediates in the formation of $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I]$ could be detected. Their presence was shortlived however and their C—O stretching modes were observed to decrease in intensity with increase in intensity of the peaks corresponding to $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I]$; the de-

^{*}Present addresses: J.C.T.R.B.-St.L., School of Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton (Great Britain). J.S.F., Department of Chemistry, University of Witwatersrand, Jan Smuts Avenue, Johannesburg (Republic of South Africa).

^{**}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

crease was rapid for M = Mo but occurred at a more moderate rate for M = W. These species could nevertheless be isolated by performing the iodinations in the presence of $Na[B(C_6H_5)_4]$ in either ethanol at 0°C or toluene, plus sufficient ethanol to effect the dissolution of the counterion, at -15°C. The compounds which separated from solution were characterised as the iodide bridged derivatives $[\{M(\eta - C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2I]$ $[B(C_6H_5)_4]$.

Bromination of $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3]_2$ in dichloromethane was found to lead to the rapid formation of $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2Br_3]$ [16, 17] via $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3Br]$, irrespective of the $Br_2/[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2]$ molar ratio employed. $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2Br]^+$ could not be detected in this reaction nor for that matter could it be isolated by performing the bromination in ethanol in the presence of a counterion but this is attributed to the rapid rate of formation of the two neutral products. The chlorination reactions of $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2]$ were found to be even more rapid than the corresponding brominations such that the neutral chloride $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3Cl]$ became difficult to detect in the formation of $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2Cl_3]$.

The reaction of the substituted derivative $[\{Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2P(OCH_3)_3\}_2]$ [18] with iodine was also studied and, as for the corresponding reactions involving the unsubstituted compounds, $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2]$, an ionic species viz. $[\{Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2P(OCH_3)_3\}_2I]^+$, was found to be an intermediate in the formation of the neutral product, $[Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2P(OCH_3)_3I]$.

[$\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2I\}^+$ (M = Mo or W) were observed to react very readily with iodide ions to produce [$M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I$], consistent with these species being intermediates in the formation of the latter from [$\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2$]. These cationic derivatives are also susceptible to attack by neutral nucleophiles and [$\{Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2I\}^+$ for instance reacts readily with acetone, pyridine, $P(C_6H_5)_3$ and $P(OCH_3)_3$ to afford [$Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3(Nu)\}^+$ (Nu = nucleophile) and [$Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I$].

On the basis of the above results it is suggested that halogenation of $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2]$ (M = Mo or W) occurs by the mechanism proposed for $[\{M'(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2\}_2]$ (M' = Fe or Ru) and $[\{Fe(CO)_3SR\}_2]$ (R = alkyl or aryl group) [1-5]. This involves (i) electrophilic attack of the halogen molecule on the metal complex resulting in heterolytic cleavage of the halogen—halogen bond and the formation of the halide bridged cationic intermediate through a three-centre transition state, and (ii) subsequent nucleophilic attack of the halide ion on the intermediate to give the neutral halide as shown in Scheme 1.

Although $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3]$ (M = Mo or W) [19—21] radicals can be readily generated under photochemical conditions*, a radical mechanism is eliminated on the basis that it cannot readily explain the formation of $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)-(CO)_3\}_2X]^*$. A further possibility involving the heterolytic fission of $[\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2]$ according to equations 1—4.

$$[\{M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3\}_2] = [M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3]^+ + [M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3]^-$$
 (1)

$$[M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3]^- + X_2 \rightarrow [M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3 X] + X^-$$
 (2)

$$[M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3]^+ + X^- \rightarrow [M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3 X]$$
 (3)

^{*}These radicals react readily with chlorocarbon trapping agents to produce $[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3Cl]$ [21].

$$[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3]^+ + [M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3X] \rightarrow [\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2X]^+ (4)$$

[$\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2X\}^+ + X^- \rightarrow 2[M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3X]$ is discarded on the basis that only [$\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2I\}^+$ (M = Mo or W) was observed to be formed in the initial stages of the iodination of [$\{M(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3\}_2$].

Similar to that established previously for $[M(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3 X]$ (M = Mo or W; X = Cl, Br or I) [16, 17], the substituted derivatives $[Mo(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_2 LX]$ (X = Cl, Br or I; L = P(OCH₃)₃, P(C₂ H₅)₃ or P(CH₃)₂ C₆ H₅) react readily with halogens to produce molybdenum(IV) compounds of the type $[Mo(\eta - C_5 H_5) - (CO)LX_3]$. $[Mo(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_2 P(C_6 H_5)_3 X]$ on the other hand affords $[Mo(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_2 X_3]$ on attack by halogens. This difference in behaviour can be attributed to the greater steric bulk of the triphenylphosphine ligand [22]. A similar explanation will account for the instability of $[Mo(\eta - C_5 H_5) - (CO)LI_3]$ (L = P(C₂ H₅)₃ or P(CH₃)₂ C₆ H₅) in solution.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research and the University of Natal for financial support.

References

- 1 R.J. Haines and A.L. du Preez, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 769.
- 2 D.A. Brown, A.R. Manning and D.J. Thornhill, Chem. Commun., (1969) 338.
- 3 R.J. Haines and A.L. du Preez, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1970) 2341.
- 4 R.J. Haines and A.L. du Preez, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1972) 944.
- 5 R.J. Haines, J.A. de Beer and R. Greatrex, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1976) 1749.
- 6 A.R. Manning, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 1319.
- 7 R.F. Bryan and P.T. Greene, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1970) 3064.

- 8 R.F. Bryan, P.T. Greene, M.J. Newlands and D.S. Field, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1970) 3068.
- 9 P. McArdle and A.R. Manning, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1970) 2128.
- 10 L.F. Dahl and C.H. Wei, Inorg. Chem., 2 (1968) 328.
- 11 J.A. de Beer, R.J. Haines, R. Greatrex and N.N. Greenwood, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1971) 3271.
- 12 J.A. de Beer and R.J. Haines, J. Organometal. Cnem., 36 (1972) 297.
- 13 J.A. de Beer and R.J. Haines, J. Organometal. Chem., 37 (1972) 173.
- 14 F.C. Wilson and D.P. Shoemaker, J. Chem. Phys. 27 (1957) 809.
- 15 R.D. Adams and F.A. Cotton, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 7 (1973) 153.
- 16 R.J. Haines, R.S. Nyhoim and M.H.B. Stiddard, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1966) 1606.
- 17 M.L.H. Green and W.E. Lindsell, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1967) 686.
- 18 R.J. Haines and C.R. Nolte, J. Organometal. Chem., 24 (1970) 725.
- 19 M.S. Wrighton and D.S. Ginley, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 4246.
- 20 J.L. Hughey, C.B. Bock and T.J. Meyer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 4440.
- 21 R.M. Laine and P.C. Ford, Inorg. Chem., 16 (1977) 388.
- 22 C.A. Tolman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92 (1970) 2956.